UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,143	03/29/2006	David E. Penna	GB030178	8682
94518 DLA PIPER LI	7590 05/12/201 LP (US)	EXAMINER		
2000 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE	CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,143	PENNA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		` ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, tollow of 101111 1 7 9 10 <b>2</b> 1			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application PCT/IB2004/051944, filed 10/01/2004. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s)

Art Unit: 2611

under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Art Unit: 2611

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because it fail to properly identify each drawing sheet as required by 37 CFR 1.84(c), reproduced as partially as follow for ease of convenience

(c) Identification of drawings. Identifying indicia should be provided, and if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet within the top margin. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be identified as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to § 1.121(d). If a marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure including annotations indicating the changes made is filed, such marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" pursuant to § 1.121(d)(1).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2611

## Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2611

### Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 13 is incomplete because the claim recites "a method as claimed in claim" but does not specify the claim number. For examination purpose, claim 13 will be assumed to depend on claim 1. However, applicant is required to correct the dependency of claim 13 in response to this office action. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 2-15 and 18-44 are objected to because of the following informalities:

  Claim 2, line 2, "(150)" should be replaced by "(150, 210, 220)" so as to be

  consistent with antecedent in claim 1, lines 2-3.

As per claim 3, see claim 2.

As per claim 4, the limitation "in a storage memory 220" is not consistent with recitation in claim 1 that recites " a memory subsystem (150, 210, 220). The claim should be amended in such a way as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 1. In addition "in memory (210,220)" should be replaced by "said memory subsystem (150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with similar recitation in claim 1.

As per claim 5, see claim 2.

As per claim 6, line 4, "in memory (210,220)" should be replaced by "said memory subsystem (150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with similar recitation in claim 1.

As per claim 11, see claim 6. In addition, last line, "processor" should be replaced by "processing system" for consistency with antecedent.

As per claim 12, see claim 2.

As per claim 15, see claim 6.

As per claim 18, what does it mean by "among those listed in claim 2"?

Claim 21 recites "combined with the method as claimed in claim 18". How can claim 21 be combined with claim 18?

Claim 22, line 5, "(150)" should be replaced by "(150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 2.

As per claim 23, see claim 22.

As per claim 24, see claim 22. In addition, claim 23 refers to "220" as "an image data storage memory" while the same component is referred to in claim 24 as "a main image storage memory". Claim 24 should be amended to make use of antecedent in claim 23.

As per claim 25, line 3, and line 5 "in memory (220)" should be replaced by "said memory subsystem (150, 210, 220)", respectively, so as to be consistent with similar recitation in claim 22.

Claim 26, line 2, "(150)" should be replaced by "(150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 22.

Claim 27, lines 2-3, how can "means 190" includes "means 190"? It appears that "the means (190, 200) for dynamically selecting" was intended instead of "the selecting means (190) includes means (means 190)". In addition such limitation is more consistent with recitation in base claim 22. Please correct.

Art Unit: 2611

As per claim 28, "selecting means (190)" should be replaced by "the means (190, 200) for dynamically selecting" so as to be consistent with recitation in claim 22.

As per claim 29, see claim 28.

Claim 31, line 3, please replace "it" by it proper term.

As per claim 32, see claim 27. In addition, last line, "processor" should be replaced by "processing system" for consistency.

Claim 33, "(150)" should be replaced by "(150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 22.

Claim 36 recites "subsequent processing steps". However it is noted that the claim is an **apparatus claim** and does not include any steps.

Claim 37 recites that "200" is a "programmable processing unit". However, base claim 22 recites that "200" is part of a "means for selecting". Please correct for consistency with antecedent.

As per claim 38, see claim 33.

As per claim 40, what does it mean by "among those listed in claim 23"?

Claim 41, line 3, please replace "it" by it proper term.

Claim 43, line 6, "(150)" should be replaced by "(150, 210, 220)" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 3. In addition, the claim recites "combined with the system as claimed in claim 40". How can the system of claim 43 be combined with the system of claim 40?

Art Unit: 2611

As per claim 44, the claim recites "to implement the <u>features of an apparatus</u> embodying the invention <u>as claimed in claim 1</u>". However, it is noted that claim 1 is a <u>method claim</u> not an apparatus.

Any claim whose base claim is objected is likewise objected.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4, 5, 18, 25, 28, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. Those claims are omnibus type claims.

The term "etc", recited in claim 1, renders the claim vague and indefinite. Similar comment applies to claims 21, 22, 43.

The limitation "the means (90, 160,192,196,194) for selecting" lacks of proper antecedent basis.

Claim 38, lines 3-4, "said program code" lacks of proper antecedent basis.

Any claim whose base claim is rejected is likewise rejected.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-44 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jean B Corrielus/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611